

OSBECK, photographic copy of a pastel possession of E. & G. Banck, Gothenburgveden, 9 May 1723: d. Hasslöf, Halland, of Linnaeus, travelled as ship's chaplain to d rural dean (1773) at Hasslöf. Biogr. and rok, Bibl. bot. suec. 565-566 (1925); Blunt, aule, Bot. J. Linn. Soc., London 67: 189

TAXON 25(2/3): 233-239. MAY 1976

ECOLOGICAL SPECIES, MULTISPECIES, AND OAKS

Leigh Van Valen*

Summary

Oaks exemplify problems with the reproductive species concept which motivate a reconsideration of the use and nature of species. Ecology is important in the reconsideration. The species level is usually overemphasized in evolutionary thought; selection acts on phenotypes and any mutualistic units. Standard definitions tend to inhibit free conceptual progress. Multispecies, sets of broadly sympatric species that exchange genes, may occur among animals as well as plants and may conceivably bridge kingdoms. This phenomenon can be adaptively important. There may be taxa without species. The degree of modality of adaptive zones can be investigated empirically.

Muller (1952) and more directly Burger (1975) have shown that North American populations of oaks (Quercus) cut across the frame of reference of the now usual concept of species. This discordance may well be widespread among plants and microorganisms and seems to occur for some animals; oaks are merely conspicuous and well studied. I believe with Burger that the situation is sufficiently serious that a reconsideration of the nature of species is needed. I agree with his conclusions but go beyond them. My concern is with the nature of processes rather than terms for them, although the latter level is sometimes more convenient for discussion.

The usual concept of species can be stated as follows (Mayr, 1970): "Species are groups of interbreeding natural populations that are reproductively isolated from other such groups." This concept is grandly called "the biological species concept." But that is an arbitrary appropriation of a term with a more general and earlier meaning. I will instead use the term "reproductive species concept."

Simpson (1961) proposed a modification of the reproductive species concept to fir it to the numerous cases where the two defining criteria are irrelevant: "An evolutionary species is a lineage (an ancestral-descendent sequence of populations) evolving separately from others and with its own unitary evolutionary role and tendencies". I will modify Simpson's concept, first stating the revised version formally and then justifying it.

My underlying framework is radical and includes the beliefs (1) that genes are of minor importance in evolution and should ordinarily be considered there in nearly the same degree (if often not for the same reasons) as other molecules, (2) that the control of evolution is largely by ecology and the constraints of individual development, and (3) that selection acts primarily on phenotypes, which are the building-blocks of communities. A species is one kind of unit of evolution, although there are many other kinds, but how best to say so precisely?

The Ecological Species Concept

The following definition is a vehicle for conceptual revision, not a standing

A species is a lineage (or a closely related set of lineages) which occupies an adaptive zone minimally different from that of any other lineage in its range and which evolves separately from all lineages outside its range.

A lineage is a clone or an ancestral-descendent sequence of populations. A

*Department of Biology, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60637.

MAY 1976

TAXON VOLUME 25

population is a group of individuals in which adjacent individuals at least occasionally exchange genes with each other reproductively, and in which adjacent individuals do so more frequently than with individuals outside the population.

Lineages are closely related if they have occupied the same adaptive zone since their latest common ancestor. If their adaptive zone has changed since then, they are closely related iff the new adaptations have been transferred among the lineages

rather tham originating separately in each.

An adaptive zone (Van Walen, 1971) is some part of the resource space together with whatever predation and parasitism occurs on the group considered. It is a part of the environment, as distinct from the way of life of a taxon that may occupy it, and exists independently of any inhabitants it may have. The word "zone," allthough entrenched, is perhaps unfortunate in suggesting the necessary existence of natural boundaries or subcontinuities in the resource space. The boundaries of an adaptive zone may be fixed and if so will remain the same whatever species ame present, like apartments in an apartment house or a surface with basins separated by ridges. Alternatively there may be no such pre-existing boundaries, as with an Iroquois long house or a flat surface, and yet subdivision can be imposed on it by the nature of the particular species that happen to be present together. Which of these intergrading alternatives on the modality of the resource space is most prewalent is an unresolved, in fact nearly unstudied, and important empiricall question.

The degree of difference in adaptive zones required will wary from case to case. Dandelions (Tiaraxacum officinale) have sympatric clones that differ slightly as to the part of the resource space occupied (Gadgil and Solbrig, 1972), and even if these do not in ffact interbreed it is arbitrary whether they are placed in the

same or different species.

"Range" is both geographical and temporal. In cases of geographic or temporal variation of the adaptive zone of a species, it may occasionally happen (I know of no real cases, but the possibility is usually ignored) that some other species in part A of the range may be more similar adaptively to the first species as it exists in part B of the range than is the first species as it exists in part A. This is a minor semantic complication which does not seem worth incorporating into the definition, although a real case would be interesting ecologically. Separate evolution is, as Simpson (1961) noted, the underlying reason for the importance of reproductive isolation. It therefore seems appropriate to use it directly. As is the case in the real world, this criterion has fuzzy boumdaries.

For instance, it is arbitrary whether otherwise similar populations on isolated islands are called different species. I would not want to make such a splitting, because their evolution is still sufficiently similar and splitting would unnecessarily complicate biogeographic theory and practical systematics, but the decision seems to be one of taste rather than biology. The populations are, after all, separate. It is for us to determine, for our own purposes, whether their evolution is controlled by pressures sufficiently different that the evolution of these populations is also separate. I believe that this criterion is the one most commonly applied to

such situations in practice, at least implicitly.

It may seem that sympatric but isolated populations with similar phenotypes (sib species) present a problem, but they would not persist together if they did not

occupy minimally different adaptive zones.

An operational criteriom for the occupation of different adaptive zones is a difference in the ultimately regulating factor, or factors, of population density (cf. Van Valem, 1973). Some species thus occupy more than one low-level adaptive zone

Reproductive isolation of allopatric populations is of minor evolutionary importance and needs little consideration. For instance, Zouros (1974) and others have found that ecological difference is more closely related to genic difference than is the occurrence of reproductive isolation. Schwarz (1974) gives other evidence and concludes that speciation occurs by ecological change, any reproductive isolation being incidental.

Ghiselin (1975) has made a useful most extensive units in the natural econgenes] occurs among their parts." Howat many levels with different time scaentire trophic levels competing reproduce the preservation of their genes. I there

Species are maintained for the n Completely asexual communities woul numerous subcontinuities and even di quire that the main criterion of spe phenotypic diversity for a group of I but in some places the morphs belonge different species. A similar situation, changeable in a community, may hold spruce (Picea; Stern and Roche, 19 placement and character release at continuous variation. It is the ultimate that determine whether a phenotype w

Evolution and the Ecological Species

It may well be that Quercus macrowith local Q. bicolor than it does wi easily detectable proportion of interand the short-range gene dispersal of Levin and Kerster, 1974), it would nature of the selection that keeps the on a strong environmental subconting gration, is unknown. Stebbins (1970) situation over millions of years for a broadly sympatric species that exchan (The "syngameon" of Grant [1957, 1 to hybridization as a method of gene defined by Lotsy [1925, 1931] as n zhansky [1951] noted, and Cuenot [of potentially interfertile organisms, Turesson's ecospecies and coenospecie gene flow in nature [Stebbins, 1950]).

The ecological species concept the whether it is sympatric or allopatric. Teason. Q. macrocarpa and Q. bicologiscrete, and their broad sympatry of designation. Moreover, each varies gwith widely dissimilar components, didistinction. At the other extreme, suculatus are allopatric and often diff do intergrade and something coincid this case seems useful. Homo sapiens

Higher taxa may almost fit the whence the word "minimally" with: This delimits species from higher tax why species are less arbitrary taxa t why the species category itself is ecological species concept is similar (Simpson, 1953; Van Valen, 1971) categories. A symposium published Zoology shows an apparent consensum combination of cladistics and resemb

which adjacent individuals at least octer reproductively, and in which adjacent with individuals outside the population. we occupied the same adaptive zone since daptive zone has changed since then, they have been transferred among the lineages

s some part of the resource space together n occurs on the group considered. It is a om the way of life of a taxon that may any inhabitants it may have. The words unfortunate in suggesting the necessary becontinuities in the resource space. The pe fixed and if so will remain the same ments in an apartment house or a surface atively there may be no such pre-existing use or a flat surface, and yet subdivision the particular species that happen to be ading alternatives on the modality of the unresolved, in fact nearly unstudied, and

e zones required will vary from case to have sympatric clones that differ slightly pied (Gadgil and Solbrig, 1972), and even arbitrary whether they are placed in the

iporal. In cases of geographic or temporal es, it may occasionally happen (I know of y ignored) that some other species in part A ely to the first species as it exists in part B exists in part A. This is a minor semantic incorporating into the definition, although ically. Separate evolution is, as Simpson the importance of reproductive isolation, directly. As is the case in the real world,

otherwise similar populations on isolated ould not want to make such a splitting, similar and splitting would unnecessarily actical systematics, but the decision seems. The populations are, after all, separate. In purposes, whether their evolution is cent that the evolution of these populations ion is the one most commonly applied to itly.

d populations with similar phenotypes (sib ould not persist together if they did not

upation of different adaptive zones is a actor, or factors, of population density (cf. ccupy more than one low-level adaptive

populations is of minor evolutionary n. For instance, Zouros (1974) and others more closely related to genic difference e isolation. Schwarz (1974) gives other n occurs by ecological change, any re-

Ghiselin (1975) has made a useful advance by considering species to be "the most extensive units in the natural economy such that reproductive competition [for genes] occurs among their parts." However, such competition occurs simultameously at many levels with different time scales. The "most extensive" might perhaps be entire trophic levels competing reproductively for free energy, and indirectly for the preservation of their genes. I therefore find this proposal incomplete.

Species are maintained for the most part ecologically, not reproductively. Completely asexual communities would perhaps be as diverse as sexual oness, with numerous subcontinuities and even discontinuities. This suggests but does mot require that the main criterion of species be ecological. Heed (1963) found the phenotypic diversity for a group of Drosophila to be similar in different places, but in some places the morphs belonged to the same species and in some places to different species. A similar situation, where morphs and species are almost interchangeable in a community, may hold for the snail Cepaea (Clarke, 1962) and for spruce (Picea; Stern and Roche, 1974, pp. 132-138). Cases of character displacement and character release are evidence for a similar significance of continuous variation. It is the ultimate regulatory factors of its population density that determine whether a phenotype will persist in a community.

Evolution and the Ecological Species

It may well be that Quercus macrocarpa in Quebec exchanges many more genes with local Q. bicolor than it does with Q. macrocarpa in Texas. Considering the easily detectable proportion of intermediate individuals ("hybrids") in Quebec and the short-range gene dispersal of most plants (cf. Ehrlich and Raven, 1969; Levin and Kerster, 1974), it would be surprising if this were not the casse. The nature of the selection that keeps the two species largely discrete, whether based on a strong environmental subcontinuity or on developmental ("genomic") integration, is unknown. Stebbins (1970) gave evidence for the maintemance of such a situation over millions of years for another pair of species of Quiercus. A set of broadly sympatric species that exchange genes in nature can be called a multiis pecies. (The "syngameon" of Grant [1957, 1971] is a similar concept although restricted to hybridization as a method of gene exchange. However, this term was originally defined by Lotsy [1925, 1931] as meaning any Mendelian population, as Dobzhansky [1951] noted, and Cuenot [1951] used it even more broadly for any set of potentially interfertile organisms, including specifically the entire genuss Canis. Turesson's ecospecies and coemospecies are based on ability to hybridize, not on gene flow in nature [Stebbins, 1950]).

The ecological species concept treats gene flow differently depending on whether it is sympatric or allopatric. This distinction is partly arbitrary but has a reason. Q. macrocarpa and Q. bicolor are evolutionarily and ecologically largely discrete, and their broad sympatry over most of their range precludes subspecific designation. Moreover, each waries geographically. The possibility of multispecies with widely dissimilar components, discussed below, accentuates the usefulness of a distinction. At the other extreme, subspecies of the deermouse Peromyscuss maniculatus are alliopatric and often differ in major aspects of habitat use, yet they do intergrade and something coinciding with the reproductive species concept in this case seems useful. Homo sapiens has been a similar species.

Higher taxa may almost fit the definition of the ecological species concept, whence the word "minimally" with reference to the difference in adaptive zones. This delimits species from higher taxa and, as the end of a possible continuum, is why species are less arbitrary taxa than are those in higher categories. It is also why the species category itself is the least arbitrary category. However, the ecological species concept is similar to ecological interpretations of higher taxa (Simpson, 1953; Van Valen, 1971) and so helps in conceptually unifying all categories. A symposium published in the December, 1973, issue of Sysstematic Zoology shows an apparent consensus that evolutionary taxonomy is at simple combination of cladistics and resemblance. The addition of another dimension by

the explicit use of adaptation, however, makes classification closer to the actual processes of evolution.

The apparent fact that species can originate from intermediate individuals (this seems to have happened in oaks: Q. alvordiana as discussed by Tucker, 1952) permits the possibility that some such species have a multiple origin, from geographically separate intermediates expanding with the expansion of an intermediate environment. The lack of reproductive isolation between the more extreme species makes interbreeding of such expanding populations likely when they meet. Conversely, one species can expand and incorporate some or even all the surviving genes of another (the compilospecies of Harlan and de Wet, 1963).

Ecotypes differ from species in being multiply derived from an ancestral stock and usually in being allopatric or parapatric to it. However, a geographically continuous ecotype regionally sympatric with its ancestor would be a species. Ecotypes restricted to small, unique areas of serpentine-derived or lead-polluted soil would be marginal cases and indicate a mechanism of origin for species if the

edaphic conditions were more widespread.

Most importantly, however, incomplete reproductive isolation of species permits better evolutionary adaptation. Adaptations useful to only one species can easily be kept from the other, while adaptations useful to both can get to both wherever they originate (Brues, 1964, 1973, 1974, and umpublished). This is true for adaptations useful to both species in only part of their range as well as for adaptations of general use. It would be desirable to survey Q. macrocarpa and Q. bicolor electrophoretically in different parts of their range to see how important this phenomenon has been. And the multispecies, more extensive than the ecological species, does not have all its seeds in one ecological basket. As Burger (1975) notes, the Quercus situation is relevant to the interspecific comtinuity of subspecies postulated by Coon (1962) (which even Dobzhansky [1970, p. 392] has accepted, without mentioning Coon), Freudenthal (1965, 1968, and personal communication), and Martin (1970), and discussed in terms of genetics by Wan Valen (1966) and Brues (1964, 1973, 1974). It is difficult to see how such an advantageous situation could be selected for directly, however, and it is probably a byproduct of other

There may be taxa without species. Rubus, Crataegus, and the Enterobacteriaceae are possible examples, and the dandelion case is conceptually similar. The problem resulting from this possibility would be nomenclatural and not scientific. Why, other than for names, must there always be species? And even names can be treated non-traditionally. It seems preferable to see whether there are in fact objectively bounded clusters more or less comparable in adaptive scope to those in other taxa, rather than starting from an assumption of the existence of species and then trying to find their boundaries (cf. Rahn, 1929; Cowan, 1962; Hutchinson,

The modality of a broad adaptive zone can be defined as the degree to which it is partitioned when the effects of the included species on the adaptive zone itself are eliminated. In those parts of an adaptive zone which can suitably be represented by a multidimensional space, the modality is the demsity of clustering in this space of the images of points (or small regions) of the physical space in the part of the real world we are considering. For example, as a simple case with some applicability to herbivores, we can take the entire world with two dimensions of wetness and primary productivity. The wetness dimension will contain a strong subcontinuity in the region onto which bogs and marshes map, since they are rare in relation to waters and dry land, and there will perhaps be no marginal subcontinuity at all in the dimension of productivity although there are obvious interactions.

Statistically, I have defined (Van Valen, 1974) the modality

$$M = \frac{\sum_{i} \sum_{j} d^{2}_{i,j} - ((uniform))}{\frac{N^{2}}{2} - ((uniform))}, \text{ where } du \text{ is the}$$

TAXON VOLUME 25

Euclidean distance between any two points, a mation when the same number of points ar sphere with diameter equal to the distance be not is the maximum value of the double summa

are at each end of the diameter. M will then 1 aclustering of all points into two. It can be no

The variables should obviously be made e mean. But there is a serious problem in the ap different variables are best taken at physical unit, as with size of seeds and availability thousands of seeds, each representing one d value) to be plotted, in a region with one hol seeds, so sampling one seed in the region is dependently for each variable, but their coml to the species that inhabit the adaptive zone.

The detailed pattern of natural variati among populations, phenotypic perhaps me interest from the viewpoint of adaptation." may be the best groups with which to study 1 ly relevant subcontinuities in the environ (3) epistatic effects of different phenotyp phenotypic and genotypic adaptive peaks in are possible and the discontinuities among variation in a way that is irrelevant to this p

Just as at least some bacteriophage excl that gene exchange occurs in other cases associations. It is even conceivable that ge plants by means of those viruses which som Zhdanov and Tikchonenko, 1974). A possi between carnivorans and primates (Todaro 1974; Sherr and Todaro, 1974; Benvenis would be marginal examples of multisp published paper, Durden (1969) has propobetween the extremes of one and two repr

multispecies-like.

From the point of view of ecological in the operation of (and to some extent the r level unit in a community is fuzzily bour chloroplasts and some other organelles is actions that are less highly integrated (Ma ed as species with a dual ancestry. Reef (heterotroph ancestry are partly to wholely Muscatine, 1973, 1974) because of endos plasts. Should such ecological units be con lems are the gradation of mutualism into o individuals, and the possibility of nontra genome is accepted for lichens. Mutual with nonmutualistic species for a commu as (suboptimal) coalitions in n-person zer

It is unclear why multispecies seem t than elsewhere. Burger (1975) suggest: development) and more precise mating ditional possibilities are sharper delinear the seemingly greater rarity of closely re variables are possible and can help the metazoan multispecies. Fischer-Piette (1969) has described a possible case in the

MAY 1976

er, makes classification closer to the actual

riginate from intermediate individuals (this alvordiana as discussed by Tucker, 1952) species have a multiple origin, from geonding with the expansion of an intermediate isolation between the more extreme species ing populations likely when they meet, l incorporate some or even all the surviving Harlan and de Wet, 1963).

g multiply derived from an ancestral stock rapatric to it. However, a geographically ric with its ancestor would be a species. reas of serpentine-derived or lead-polluted ate a mechanism of origin for species if the

ete reproductive isolation of species permits tions useful to only one species can easily be is useful to both can get to both wherever 974, and unpublished). This is true for only part of their range as well as for e desirable to survey Q. macrocarpa and Q. parts of their range to see how important ltispecies, more extensive than the ecological le ecological basket. As Burger (1975) notes, the interspecific continuity of subspecies n Dobzhansky [1970, p. 392] has accepted, 1 (1965, 1968, and personal communication). erms of genetics by Van Valen (1966) and to see how such an advantageous situation er, and it is probably a byproduct of other

Rubus, Crataegus, and the Enterobacteriaceae on case is conceptually similar. The problem ld be nomenclatural and not scientific. e always be species? And even names can be eferable to see whether there are in fact ess comparable in adaptive scope to those in n assumption of the existence of species and cf. Rahn, 1929; Cowan, 1962; Hutchinson,

ne can be defined as the degree to which it is luded species on the adaptive zone itself are ive zone which can suitably be represented lity is the density of clustering in this space ons) of the physical space in the part of the example, as a simple case with some apthe entire world with two dimensions of he wetness dimension will contain a strong 1 bogs and marshes map, since they are rare and there will perhaps be no marginal suboductivity although there are obvious inter-

Valen, 1974) the modality

$$\frac{\sum_{i}\sum_{j}^{N}d^{2}_{i,j}-(\text{uniform})}{\frac{N^{2}}{2}-(\text{uniform})}, \text{ where } d_{ij} \text{ is the}$$

TAXON VOLUME 25

Euclidean distance between any two points, and (uniform) is the value of the summation when the same number of points are distributed uniformly in a hypersphere with diameter equal to the distance between the extreme observed points.

N is the maximum value of the double summation and occurs when half the points

are at each end of the diameter. M will then range from o for no modality to I for a clustering of all points into two. It can be negative when there is unimodality.

The variables should obviously be made equivalent, as by dividing each by its mean. But there is a serious problem in the application of M when measurements ϖ n different variables are best taken at physical points or different sizes of regions pier unit, as with size of seeds and awailability of holes for cover. There may be housands of seeds, each representing one datum (perhaps weighted by nutritiwe value) to be plotted, in a region with one hole. A bird may need one hole but mamy seeds, so sampling one seed in the region is inadequate. M can be calculated imdependently for each variable, but their combination is awkward although relevant to the species that inhabit the adaptive zone.

The detailed pattern of natural variation itself, within perhaps more than among populations, phenotypic perhaps more than genotypic, is of comsiderable interest from the viewpoint of adaptation. "Difficult," predominantly asexual, taxa may be the best groups with which to study this. Even they confound (1) biologically relevant subcontinuities in the environment, (2) effects of competition, amd (3) epistatic effects of different phenotypes and genotypes on fitness (isolated phenotypic and genotypic adaptive peaks in a uniform environment). But controls are possible and the discontinuities among sexual species limit the scope of their

variation in a way that is irrelevant to this problem.

Just as at least some bacteriophage exchange genes with their prey, it may be that gene exchange occurs in other cases of intimate symbiosis, e.g. mycorrhizal associations. It is even conceivable that genes are transferred between imsects amd plants by means of those viruses which somehow grow in both (cf. Anderson, 1970; Zhdanov and Tikchonenko, 1974). A possible example has recently been described between carmivorans and primates (Todaro, Sherr, Benveniste, Lieber, and Melnick, 1974; Sherr and Todaro, 1974; Benveniste and Todaro, 1974). Such situations would be marginal examples of multispecies. In an important but obscurely published paper, Durden (1969) has proposed that there are often geographic climes between the extremes of one and two reproductive species, the intermediates being multispecies-like.

From the point of view of ecological interactions, and therefore with respect to the operation of (and to some extent the response to) natural selection, the specieslevel unit im a community is fuzzilly bounded. The possibly mutualistic nature of chloroplasts and some other organælles is repeated by definitely mutualistic interactions that are less highly integrated (Margulis, 1970). Lichens are commonly treated as species with a dual ancestry. Reef corals and many other organisms with a heterotroph ancestry are partly to wholely autotrophic (Odum, 1971; Zucker, 1973; Muscatine, 1973, 1974) because of endosymbiotic algae which function as chloroplasts. Should such ecological units be considered species? The only important problens are the gradation of mutualism into occasional interactions of otherwise separate individuals, and the possibility of montransitive mutualistic associations. A double genome is accepted for lichens. Mutualistic units compete with each other and with nonmutualistic species for a community's resources and in this respect behave as (suboptimal) coalitions in n-person zero-sum game theory.

It is unclear why multispecies seem to occur less commonly among metazoans than elsewhere. Burger (1975) suiggests that the more complex structure (ii.e. development) and more precise mating of many metazoans may be crucial. Additional possibilities are sharper delineation of adaptive zones, if this occurs, and the seemingly greater rarity of clossely related sympatric species. Controlls on these variables are possible and can help their evaluation. There may nevertheless be metazoan multispecies. Fischer-Piette (various papers reviewed by Van Valen, 1969) has described a possible case in the lumpet Patella, and others may occur in the

MAY 1976

rotifer Polyarthra (Pejler, 1956), the abalone Haliotis (Owen, McLean, and Meyer. 1971), the fish Etheostoma (Echelle, Echelle, Smith, and Hill, 1975), and the mussel Mytilus (Seed, 1972). A theory which denies the possibility of multispecies inhibits their discovery.

Acknowledgements

W. Burgger's analysis of oaks provided the stimulus for writing up these ideas. I thank him, N. Flesness, M. T. Ghiselin, H. W. Kerster, D. A. Levim, V. C. Maiorana, R. R. Sokal. V. Thompsson, and W. Wimsatt for related discussions.

References

Anderson, N. G. 1970 - Evolutionary significance of virus infection. Nature 227: 1346-

BENVENISTIE, R. E., and G. J. TODARO 1974 - Evolution of C-type viral genes: inheritance of exogenously acquired viral genes. Nature 252: 456-459.

Brues, A. M. 1964 - Selective factors in gene flow [abstract]. Amer. Jour. Phys. Anth. 22: 5007

Brues, A. M. 1973 - Models applicable to geographic variation in man. In Computer Simulation in Human Population Studies (B. Dyke amd J. W. MacCluer, eds.), pp. 129-141. New York: Academic Press.

BRUES, 1974 - Models for evolution in a polytypic species [abstract]. Amer. Jour. Phys. Anth. 40: 131-132.

BURGER, W. 1975 - The species-concept in Quercus. Taxon 24: 45-50.

CLARKE, B. 1962 - Balanced polymorphism and the diversity of sympatric species. In Taxonomy and Geography (D. Nichols, ed.), pp. 47-70. London: Systematics Association (Publ. 4).

Coon, C. S. 1962 - The Origin of Races. New York: Knopf. 724 pp.

COWAN, S. T. 1962 - The microbial species - a macromyth? Symp. Soc. Gen. Microbiol. 12:

CUENOT, L. 1951 - L'Évolution Biologique. Paris: Masson et Cie. 592 pp.

DOBZHANSKY, Th. 1951 - Genetics and the Origin of Species. 3rd ed. New York: Columbia Univ. Press. 364 pp.

DOBZHANSKIY, Th. 1970 - Genetics of the Evolutionary Process. New York: Columbia Univ. Press. 505 pp.

Durden, C. J. 1969 - Ecological aspects of the species concept. Armadillo Pap. 1: 1-14. ECHELLE, A., A., A. F. ECHELLE, M. H. SMITH, and L. G. HILL 1975 - Analysis of genic continuity in a headwater fish, Etheostoma radiosum (Percidae). Copeia (1975): 197-

EHRLICH, P. R., and P. H. RAVEN 1969 - Differentiation of populations. Science 165: 1228-12232.

FREUDENTHAL, M. 1965 - Betrachtungen über die Gattung Cricetodon. Koninkl. Nederlandse Akad. Wetensch. (B) 68: 293-305.

FREUDENTHAL, M. 1968 - On the mammalian fauna of the Hipparion-beds in the Calatay ud-Teruel Basin (Prov. Zaragoza, Spain). IV. The genus Megacricetodon (Rodenttia). Koninkl. Nederlandse Akad. Wetensch. (B) 71: 57-72.

GADGIL, M., and O. T. SOLBRIG 1972 - The concept of r- and K-selection: evidence from wild flowers and some theoretical considerations. Amer. Nat. 106: 14-31.

GHISELIN, M. T. 1975 - A radical solution to the species problem. Syst. Zool. 23 (for 1974): 536-544.

GRANT, V. 11947 - The plant species in theory and practice. In The Species Problem (E. Mayr, ed.), pp. 39-80. Washington: Amer. Assoc. Adv. Sci.

GRANT, V. 1971 - Plant Speciation. New York: Columbia Univ. Press. 435 PP-

HARLAN, J. R., and J. M. J. DE WET 1963 - The compilospecies concept. Evolution 175 497-501.

HEED, W. B. 1963 - Density and distribution of Drosophila polymorpha and its color alleles im South America. Evolution 17: 502-518.

HUTCHINSON, G. E. 1968 - When are species Evolution (R. C. Lewontin, ed.), pp. 177-186 LEVIN, D. A., and H. W. KERSTER 1974 - Gene

Lotsy, J. P. 1925 - Species or Linneon? Genetica 7 LOTSY, J. P. 1931 - On the species of the tax

Genetica 13: 1-16. MARGULIS, L. 1970 - Origin of Eukaryotic Cells. MARTIN, R. A. 1970 - Line and grade in the ex-

Science 167: 1504-1506. MAYR, E. 1970 - Populations, Species, and Evol

MULLER, C. H. 1952 - Ecological control of hy mechanism of evolution. Evolution 6: 147-16 Muscatine, L. 1973 - Nutrition of corals. In Bic

Jones and R. Endean, eds.), vol. 2, pp. 77-Muscatine, L. 1974 - Endosymbiosis of cnidar (L. Muscatine and H. M. Lenhoff, eds.), pp.

ODUM, E. P. 1971 - Fundamentals of Ecology. 3 Owen, B., J. H. McLean, and R. Meyer 197: abalones (Haliotis). Bull. Los Angeles Co. 1

Pejler, B. 1956 - Introgression in planktonic its causes and conceivable results. Evolution RAHN, O. 1929 - Contributions to the classif

Parasitenk. Infektionskrank. (Abt. 2) 78: 1 Schwarz, S. S. 1974 - Intraspecific variability genetical aspects of the problem. Trans. Fir

Seed, R. 1972 - Morphological variations in My cialis Lamarck from the coasts of France. C SHERR, C. J. and G. J. TODARO 1974 - Radio

protein of endogenous baboon type C viru SIMPSON, G. G. 1953 - The Major Features o Press. 434 PP.

SIMPSON, G. G. 1961 - Principles of Animal Press. 247 PP.

STEBBINS, G. L. 1950 - Variation and Evolution Press. 643 pp.

STEBBINS, G. L. 1970 - Variation and evolution Genetics (M. K. Hecht and W. C. Steere, Century-Crofts.

STERN, K., and L. ROCHE 1974 - Genetics of Verlag. 330 pp.

Todaro, G. J., C. J. SHERR, R. E. BENVENISTE Type C viruses of baboons: isolation fron Van Valen, L. 1966 - On discussing human ra Van Valen, L. 1969 - Variation genetics of ex Van Valen, L. 1971 - Adaptive zones and the VAN VALEN, L. 1973 - Pattern and the balance Van Valen, L. 1974 - Multivariate structural

Biol. 45: 235-247; 48: 501. ZHDANOV, V. M., and T. I. TIKCHONENKO 1974 of genetic information in the biosphere. A Zouros, E. 1974 - Genic differentiation assoc

the mulleri subgroup of Drosophila. Evolu Zucker, W. H. 1973 - Fine structure of plan algae. Dissert. Abst. Int. (B) 34: 1003.

one Haliotis (Owen, McLean, and Meyer, chelle, Smith, and Hill, 1975), and the hich denies the possibility of multispecies

stimulus for writing up these ideas. I thank er, D. A. Levin, V. C. Maiorana, R. R. Sokal, cussions.

ficance of virus infection. Nature 227: 1346-

- Evolution of C-type viral genes: inheritance ture 252: 456-459. ene flow [abstract]. Amer. Jour. Phys. Anth.

geographic variation in man. In Computer ies (B. Dyke and J. W. MacCluer, eds.), pp.

olytypic species [abstract]. Amer. Jour. Phys.

uercus. Taxon 24: 45-50.

n and the diversity of sympatric species. In ols, ed.), pp. 47-70. London: Systematics As-

w York: Knopf. 724 pp. a macromyth? Symp. Soc. Gen. Microbiol. 12:

Paris: Masson et Cie. 592 pp. Drigin of Species. 3rd ed. New York: Columbia

Evolutionary Process. New York: Columbia

the species concept. Armadillo Pap. 1: 1-14. ITH, and L. G. HILL 1975 – Analysis of genic toma radiosum (Percidae). Copeia (1975): 197-

- Differentiation of populations. Science 165:

iber die Gattung Cricetodon. Koninkl. Neder-

malian fauna of the *Hipparion*-beds in the goza, Spain). IV. The genus *Megacricetodon* ad. Wetensch. (B) 71: 57-72.

The concept of r- and K-selection: evidence al considerations. Amer. Nat. 106: 14-31. on to the species problem. Syst. Zool. 23 (for

neory and practice. In The Species Problem (E. ner. Assoc. Adv. Sci.

York: Columbia Univ. Press. 435 pp. 3 - The compilospecies concept. Evolution 17:

ution of Drosophila polymorpha and its color: 502-518.

HUTCHINSON, G. E. 1968 – When are species necessary? In Population Biology and Evolution (R. C. Lewontin, ed.), pp. 177-186. Syracuse: Syracuse Univ. Press.

LEVIN, D. A., amd H. W. KERSTER 1974 - Gene flow in seed pllants. Ewol. Biol. 7: 139-

LOTSY, J. P. 1925 - Species or Linneon? Genetica 7: 487-506.

LOTSY, J. P. 1931 - On the species of the taxonomist and its relation to evolution.

Genetica 13: 1-16.

MARGULIS, L. 1970 - Origin of Eukaryotic Cells. New Haven: Yale Univ. Press. 350 pp. MARTIN, R. A. 1970 - Line and grade in the extinct medius species group of Sigmodon. Science 167: 1504-1506.

MAYR, E. 1970 - Populations, Species, and Evolution. Cambridge: Harward Univ. Press.

MULLER, C. H. 1952 – Ecological control of hybridization in Quercus: a factor in the mechanism of evolution. Evolution 6: 147-161.

Muscatine, L. 1973 - Nutrition of corals. In Biology and Geology of Coral Reefs (O. A. Jones and R. Endean, eds.), vol. 2, pp. 77-115. New York: Academic Press..

Muscatine, L. 1974 – Endosymbiosis of cnidarians and algae. In Coelenterate Biology (L. Muscatime and H. M. Lenhoff, eds.), pp. 359-395. New York: Academic Piress. ODUM, E. P. 1971 – Fundamentals of Ecology. 3rd ed. Philadelphia: Saumders. 574 pp.

ODUM, E. P. 1971 - Inhadamentals of Ecology, Std ed Inhadelpana: Sadmeets, 974, pp. OWEN, B., J. H. McLean, and R. Meyer 1971 - Hybridization in the eastern Pacific abalones (Haliotis). Bull. Los Angeles Co. Mus. Nat. Hist., Sci., 9: 1-37.

PEILER, B. 1956 – Introgression in planktonic Rotatoria with some points of view on its causes and concentrable results. Evolution 10: 246-261.

RAHN, O. 1929 – Contributions to the classification of bacteria. Zentralbl. Balkteriol. Parasitenk. Infektionskrank. (Abt. 2) 78: 1-21.

Schwarz, S. S. 1974 – Intraspecific variability and species-formation: evolutional and genetical aspects of the problem. Trans. First Int. Theriological Congress 2: 1/36-139.

Seed, R. 1972 – Morphological variations in Mytilus edulis Linuaeus and M. galloprovin-

cialis Lamaruk from the coasts of France. Cahiers Biol. Mar. 13: 357-384.

SHERR, C. J. and G. J. Todaro 1974 – Radioimmunoassay of the major group specific protein of endogenous baboon type C viruses. Virology 61: 168-181.

Simpson, G. G. 1953 - The Major Features of Evolution. New York: Columbia Univ. Press. 434 pp.

Simpson, G. G. 1961 – Principles of Animal Taxonomy. New York: Columbia Univ. Press. 247 pp.

STEBBINS, G. L. 1950 – Variation and Evolution in Plants. New York: Columbia Univ.

Press. 643 pp.

Stebbins, G. L. 1970 — Variation and evolution in plants. In Essays in Evolution and Genetics (M. K. Hecht and W. C. Steere, eds.), pp. 173-208. New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts.

STERN, K., and L. ROCHE 1974 - Genetics of Forest Ecosystems. New York: Springer-Verlag. 330 pp.

Todaro, G. J., C. J. Shierr, R. E. Benveniste, M. M. Lieber, and J. L. Melnick. 1974 – Type C viruses of baboons: isolation from normal cell cultures. Cell 2: 55-61.

Van Valen, L. 1966 – On discussing human races. Persp. Biol. Med. 9: 377-383.

Van Valen, L. 1969 – Wariation genetics of extinct animals. Amer. Nat. 103: 193-224. Van Valen, L. 1971 – Adaptive zones and the orders of mammals. Evolution 25: 420-428.

VAN VALEN, L. 1973 - Pattern and the balance of nature. Evol. Theory 1: 31-49.

Valen, L. 1974 – Multivariate structural statistics in natural history. Jour. Theor. Biol. 45: 235-247; 48: 501.

ZHDANOV, V. M., and T. I. TIKCHONENKO 1974 – Viruses as a factor of evolution: exchange of genetic imformation in the biosphere. Adv. Virus Res. 19: 361-394.

Louros, E. 1974 - Geniic differentiation associated with the early stages of speciation in the mulleri subgroup of Drosophila. Evolution 27 (for 1973): 601-621.

Zucker, W. H. 1973 - Fine structure of planktonic foraminifera and their endosymbiotic algae. Dissert. Abst. Int. (B) 34: 1003.

TAXON VOLUME 25